

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,172	-	09/21/2001	Chun-Hsiang Chiang	A1-082 US	4260	
23683	7590	06/26/2003				
MOLEX INCORPORATED				EXAMINER		
2222 WEL LISLE, IL	LLINGTON COURT . 60532			LEON, EDWIN A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2833		
				DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY** 

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/960,172	CHIANG, CHUN-HS	SIANG			
, .a, ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edwin A. León	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most part of the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distallation of the distallation of the distallation.	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.  I 36(a) and the appropriate extending from the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:					
Claim(s) allowed: 23.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 17-22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<del></del> ·				
10. Other:						
	BEST AVAILABLE	COPY				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to Applicant's arguments regarding Claims 1, 9, 15 and 21 that the Yang reference doesn't show the tail of each of the terminals extending out of the rear side of the connector body, it is the Examiner's opinion that the combination of Yang and Yoshihito et al. would show the tail of each of the terminals extending out of the rear side of the connector body since Yang discloses the terminals (11) having a tail (Fig. 3) extended out of one end of the connector body (1) and in combination with the features shown in Yoshihito et al., this end of the connector body would become the rear side of the connector. It is the Examiner's opinion that the combination of the two references would meet Applicant's claims.

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

BEST AVAILABLE COPY